

CS103  
WINTER 2026



Lecture 12:

# Mathematical Induction

Part 1 of 2

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

- 1. The Wave**
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

Okay, let's kick off our exploration of today's material with some kinetic activity.

Let's do the wave!

# The Wave

- If done properly, everyone will eventually end up joining in.
- Why is that? There are two primary components:
  - Someone (me!) started everyone off.
  - Once the person before you did the wave, you did the wave.

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

- 1. The Wave**
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
- 2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction**
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

Let  $P$  be some predicate. The ***principle of mathematical induction*** states that if

If it starts true...

$P(0)$  is true

...and it stays true...

and

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. (P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))$

then

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$

...then it's always true.

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
- 2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction**
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
- 3. Induction Intuitions**
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?



# Induction, Intuitively

**$P(0)$**


**$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}. (P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))$**

- It's true for 0.
- Since it's true for 0, it's true for 1.
- Since it's true for 1, it's true for 2.
- Since it's true for 2, it's true for 3.
- Since it's true for 3, it's true for 4.
- Since it's true for 4, it's true for 5.
- Since it's true for 5, it's true for 6.
- ...


# Why Induction Works





# Why Induction Works




# Why Induction Works




# Why Induction Works





# Why Induction Works




# Why Induction Works




# Why Induction Works



# Why Induction Works



# Why Induction Works



# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
- 3. Induction Intuitions**
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
- 4. Proof by Induction**
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Proof by Induction

- A ***proof by induction*** is a way to use the principle of mathematical induction to show that some result is true for all natural numbers  $n$ .
- In a proof by induction, there are three steps:
  - Prove that  $P(0)$  is true.
    - This is called the ***basis*** or the ***base case***.
  - Prove that if  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.
    - This is called the ***inductive step***.
    - The assumption that  $P(k)$  is true is called the ***inductive hypothesis***.
  - Conclude, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
- 4. Proof by Induction**
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
- 5. Summing Powers of Two**
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Summing Powers of Two

$$2^0$$

$$2^0 + 2^1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4$$

# Summing Powers of Two

$$2^0 = 1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 = 1 + 2 = 3$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 = 31$$

# Summing Powers of Two

$$2^0 = 1 = 2^1 - 1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 = 1 + 2 = 3 = 2^2 - 1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7 = 2^3 - 1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 = 15 = 2^4 - 1$$

$$2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3 + 2^4 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + 16 = 31 = 2^5 - 1$$

***Theorem:*** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .”

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

At the start of the proof, we tell the reader what predicate we're going to show is true for all natural numbers  $n$ , then tell them we're going to prove it by induction.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

$P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ .

Here, we state what  $P(0)$  actually says. Now, can go prove this using any proof techniques we'd like!

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

$P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

✓  $P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

✓  $P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ .

The goal of this step is to prove

**“If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.”**

So we ask the reader to pick some  $k$ , assume that  $P(k)$  is true, then try to prove  $P(k+1)$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ .

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ .

Here, we explicitly state  $P(k+1)$ , which is what we want to prove. Now, we can use any proof technique we want to prove it.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k = 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k = (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Here, we'll use our **inductive hypothesis** (the assumption that  $P(k)$  is true) to simplify a complex expression. This is a common theme in inductive proofs.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k = (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k &= (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k - 1 + 2^k \quad (\text{via (1)}) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k &= (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k - 1 + 2^k \quad (\text{via (1)}) \\ &= 2(2^k) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k &= (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k - 1 + 2^k && \text{(via (1))} \\ &= 2(2^k) - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k &= (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k - 1 + 2^k \quad (\text{via (1)}) \\ &= 2(2^k) - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P(k + 1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k - 1 = 2^k - 1 \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k+1)$  is true. We notice that the sum of the first  $k+1$  powers of two is

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

✓  $P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2(2^k) - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P(k + 1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k - 1 = 2^k - 1 \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k+1)$  is true. We notice that the sum of the first  $k+1$  powers of two is

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

✓  $P(0)$  is true

✓ If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2(2^k) - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P(k + 1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** The sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “the sum of the first  $n$  powers of two is  $2^n - 1$ .” We will prove, by induction, that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

For our base case, we need to show  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that the sum of the first zero powers of two is  $2^0 - 1$ . Since the sum of the first zero powers of two is zero and  $2^0 - 1$  is zero as well, we see that  $P(0)$  is true.

For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  that  $P(k)$  holds, meaning that

$$2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} = 2^k - 1. \quad (1)$$

We need to show that  $P(k + 1)$  holds, meaning that the sum of the first  $k + 1$  powers of two is  $2^{k+1} - 1$ . To see this, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1} + 2^k &= (2^0 + 2^1 + \dots + 2^{k-1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k - 1 + 2^k \quad (\text{via (1)}) \\ &= 2(2^k) - 1 \\ &= 2^{k+1} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $P(k + 1)$  is true, completing the induction. 

# A Quick Aside

- This result helps explain the range of numbers that can be stored in an `int`.
- If you have an unsigned 32-bit integer, the largest value you can store is given by:

$$1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots + 2^{31} = 2^{32} - 1.$$

- This formula for sums of powers of two has many other uses as well. You'll see one on Friday.

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
- 5. Summing Powers of Two**
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction


## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
- 6. Announcements**
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Problem Set Four

- Problem Set Four is due this Friday at 1:00PM.
  - It's smaller than usual.
- PS3 solutions are posted!
- Exam grading is this Saturday.

# Problem Set Three Graded



# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
- 6. Announcements**
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. **Structuring a Proof by Induction**
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Structuring a Proof by Induction

- Define some predicate  $P$  that you'll show, by induction, is true for all natural numbers.
- Prove the base case:
  - State that you're going to prove that  $P(0)$  is true, then go prove it.
- Prove the inductive step:
  - Say that you're assuming  $P(k)$  for some arbitrary natural number  $k$ , then write out exactly what that means.
  - Say that you're going to prove  $P(k+1)$ , then write out exactly what that means.
  - Prove that  $P(k+1)$  using any proof technique you'd like!
- This is a rather verbose way of writing inductive proofs. As we get more experience with induction, we'll start leaving out some details from our proofs.

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. **Structuring a Proof by Induction**
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
- 8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem**
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Problem Statement


- You are given a set of three seemingly identical coins, two of which are real and one of which is counterfeit.
- The counterfeit coin weighs more than the rest of the coins.
- You are given a balance. Using only one weighing on the balance, find the counterfeit coin.

How?


Answer at

<https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev>


# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin





# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# A Harder Problem

- You are given a set of **nine** seemingly identical coins, eight of which are real and one of which is counterfeit.
- The counterfeit coin weighs more than the rest of the coins.
- You are given a balance. Using only **two** weighings on the balance, find the counterfeit coin.


# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




Now we have one weighing to find the counterfeit out of these three coins.


# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




Now we have one weighing to find the counterfeit out of these three coins.


# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




Now we have one weighing to find the counterfeit out of these three coins.


# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin




# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



# Finding the Counterfeit Coin



Can we generalize this?

# A Pattern

- Assume out of the coins that are given, exactly one is counterfeit and weighs more than the other coins.
- If we have no weighings, how many coins can we have while still being able to find the counterfeit?
  - **One** coin, since that coin has to be the counterfeit!
- If we have one weighing, we can find the counterfeit out of **three** coins.
- If we have two weighings, we can find the counterfeit out of **nine** coins.

So far, we have

$$\mathbf{1, 3, 9 = 3^0, 3^1, 3^2}$$

Does this pattern continue?

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

At the start of the proof, we tell the reader what predicate we're going to show is true for all natural numbers  $n$ , then tell them we're going to prove it by induction.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

$P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that ...

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings.

Here, we state what  $P(0)$  actually says. Now, can go prove this using any proof techniques we'd like!

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

$P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

✓  $P(0)$  is true

If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

The goal of this step is to prove

**“If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.”**

So we ask the reader to choose an arbitrary  $k$ , assume that  $P(k)$  is true, then try to prove  $P(k+1)$ .

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Here, we explicitly state  $P(k+1)$ , which is what we want to prove. Now, we can use any proof technique we want to try to prove it.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

Here, we use our **inductive hypothesis** (the assumption that  $P(k)$  is true) to solve this simpler version of the overall problem.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

We've given a way to use  $k+1$  weighings and find the heavy coin out of a group of  $3^{k+1}$  coins.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

We've given a way to use  $k+1$  weighings and find the heavy coin out of a group of  $3^{k+1}$  coins. Thus  $P(k+1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0 - 1 = 0$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with 0 weighings. It's vacuously true.

For the inductive step, we can assume that we have a group of  $3^k$  coins with one heavier coin. Suppose

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

- ✓  $P(0)$  is true
- If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

We've given a way to use  $k+1$  weighings and find the heavy coin out of a group of  $3^{k+1}$  coins. Thus  $P(k+1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0 - 1 = 0$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with 0 weighings. It's vacuously true.

For the inductive step, we can assume that we have a group of  $3^k$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest.

Suppose

In a proof by induction, we need to prove that

- ✓  $P(0)$  is true
- ✓ If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.

$3^k$  coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

We've given a way to use  $k+1$  weighings and find the heavy coin out of a group of  $3^{k+1}$  coins. Thus  $P(k+1)$  is true, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the following statement:

If exactly one coin in a group of  $3^n$  coins is heavier than the rest, that coin can be found using only  $n$  weighings on a balance.

We'll use induction to prove that  $P(n)$  holds for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base case, we'll prove that  $P(0)$  is true, meaning that if we have a set of  $3^0=1$  coins with one coin heavier than the rest, we can find that coin with zero weighings. This is true because if we have just one coin, it's vacuously heavier than all the others, and no weighings are needed.

For the inductive step, suppose  $P(k)$  is true for some arbitrary  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so we can find the heavier of  $3^k$  coins in  $k$  weighings. We'll prove  $P(k+1)$ : that we can find the heavier of  $3^{k+1}$  coins in  $k+1$  weighings.

Suppose we have  $3^{k+1}$  coins with one heavier than the others. Split the coins into three groups of  $3^k$  coins each. Weigh two of the groups against one another. If one group is heavier than the other, the coins in that group must contain the heavier coin. Otherwise, the heavier coin must be in the group we didn't put on the scale. Therefore, with one weighing, we can find a group of  $3^k$  coins containing the heavy coin. We can then use  $k$  more weighings to find the heavy coin in that group.

We've given a way to use  $k+1$  weighings and find the heavy coin out of a group of  $3^{k+1}$  coins. Thus  $P(k+1)$  is true, completing the induction. ■

# Some Fun Problems

- Here are some nifty variants of this problem that you can work through:
  - Suppose that you have a group of coins where there's either exactly one heavier coin, or all coins weigh the same amount. If you only get  $k$  weighings, what's the largest number of coins where you can find the counterfeit or determine none exists?
  - What happens if the counterfeit can be either heavier or lighter than the other coins? What's the maximum number of coins where you can find the counterfeit if you have  $k$  weighings?
  - Can you find the counterfeit out of a group of more than  $3^k$  coins with  $k$  weighings?
  - Can you find the counterfeit out of any group of at most  $3^k$  coins with  $k$  weighings?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
- 8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem**
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
- 9. Quirky Interludes**
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Quirky Interludes

*Refer to examples in lecture about climbing ladders.*

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
- 9. Quirky Interludes**
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1


1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction


## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
- 10. Variations on Induction**
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?


# Subdividing a Square




# Subdividing a Square




# Subdividing a Square




# Subdividing a Square




# Subdividing a Square



# Subdividing a Square




# Subdividing a Square



These regions  
aren't squares.

# Subdividing a Square

Squares can't overlap or hang off the figure.



For what values of  $n$  can a square be subdivided into  $n$  squares?


Try out some numbers  $n$  from **1 to 12**. Which values of  $n$  work?

Answer at

<https://cs103.stanford.edu/pollev>


1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12




1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

Basically, do nothing;  
leave the square as-is →




1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12




1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1	2
4	3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12



1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1		2
		3
6	5	4

1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

5	6	1
4	7	
3		2

1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1			
2	8		
3			
4	5	6	7

1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 ~~9~~ 10 11 12

1	2	3
8	9	4
7	6	5

1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1	2	3	
8	9	3	
7		10	4
		6	5


1 ~~2~~ ~~3~~ 4 ~~5~~ 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1	10		9
2	11		8
3	5	6	7
4			


1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1	2	3	
8	9	10	4
	12	11	
7	6	5	


# An Insight



# An Insight




# An Insight



# An Insight



# An Insight


# An Insight




# An Insight



# An Insight



# An Insight



# An Insight



***Theorem:*** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:**

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.”

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.




**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.




As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:



**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares. To see this, start by obtaining (via the inductive hypothesis) a subdivision of a square into  $k$  squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares. To see this, start by obtaining (via the inductive hypothesis) a subdivision of a square into  $k$  squares. Then, choose any of the squares and split it into four equal squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares. To see this, start by obtaining (via the inductive hypothesis) a subdivision of a square into  $k$  squares. Then, choose any of the squares and split it into four equal squares. This removes one of the  $k$  squares and adds four more, so there will be a net total of  $k+3$  squares.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:






For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares. To see this, start by obtaining (via the inductive hypothesis) a subdivision of a square into  $k$  squares. Then, choose any of the squares and split it into four equal squares. This removes one of the  $k$  squares and adds four more, so there will be a net total of  $k+3$  squares. Thus  $P(k+3)$  holds, completing the induction.

**Theorem:** For any  $n \geq 6$ , there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.

**Proof:** Let  $P(n)$  be the statement “there is a way to subdivide a square into  $n$  smaller squares.” We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 6$ , from which the theorem follows.

As our base cases, we prove  $P(6)$ ,  $P(7)$ , and  $P(8)$ , that a square can be subdivided into 6, 7, and 8 squares. This is shown here:



For the inductive step, assume that for some arbitrary  $k \geq 6$  that  $P(k)$  is true and that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k$  squares. We prove  $P(k+3)$ , that there is a way to subdivide a square into  $k+3$  squares. To see this, start by obtaining (via the inductive hypothesis) a subdivision of a square into  $k$  squares. Then, choose any of the squares and split it into four equal squares. This removes one of the  $k$  squares and adds four more, so there will be a net total of  $k+3$  squares. Thus  $P(k+3)$  holds, completing the induction. ■

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
- 10. Variations on Induction**
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
- 11. Generalizing Induction**
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Generalizing Induction

- When doing a proof by induction,
  - feel free to use multiple base cases, and
  - feel free to take steps of sizes other than one.
- If you do, make sure that...
  - ... you actually need all your base cases. Avoid redundant base cases that are already covered by a mix of other base cases and your inductive step.
  - ... you cover all the numbers you need to cover. Trace out your reasoning and make sure all the numbers you need to cover really are covered.
- As with a proof by cases, you don't need to separately prove you've covered all the options. We trust you.

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
- 11. Generalizing Induction**
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?

# Mathematical Induction

## Part 1

1. The Wave
2. The Principle of Mathematical Induction
3. Induction Intuitions
4. Proof by Induction
5. Summing Powers of Two
6. Announcements
7. Structuring a Proof by Induction
8. The Counterfeit Coin Problem
9. Quirky Interludes
10. Variations on Induction
11. Generalizing Induction
- 12. Breadcrumbs and What's Next?**

# More on Square Subdivisions

- There are a ton of interesting questions that come up when trying to subdivide a rectangle or square into smaller squares.
- In fact, one of the major players in early graph theory (William Tutte) got his start playing around with these problems.
- Good starting resource: this Numberphile video on [\*Squaring the Square\*](#).

# Next Time

- ***“Build Up” vs “Build Down”***
  - A subtle but key point in induction proofs.
- ***Complete Induction***
  - Expanding our inductive hypothesis.